

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

***In re:***

**286 Rider Ave Acquisition LLC**

**Debtor.**

**Chapter 11**

**Case No. 21-11298 (LGB)**

**ORDER ON EMERGENCY MOTION TO ENFORCE COURT’S PRIOR  
ORDERS**

---

WHEREAS, on July 15, 2021, 286 Rider Avenue Acquisition LLC (the “Debtor”) filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection under Title 11 of the United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Case”);

WHEREAS, on August 30, 2021, the Court held a hearing on the *Motion of 286 Rider Ave Development LLC to (I) Dismiss Bankruptcy Case Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105, 305 and 1112 and (II) for Turnover of Certain Membership Interests Under 11 U.S.C. § 543* (the “Motion to Dismiss”), upon which the Court ruled that, among other things, the Chapter 11 filing by the Debtor was voluntary and duly authorized and for various reasons stated on the record denied the Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 39] (the “Order Denying Motion to Dismiss”);

WHEREAS, in connection with the Motion to Dismiss, the Court held that section 5 of the pledge agreement allowed the lender or its nominee after the occurrence of an event of default to exercise (i) all voting and all equity, membership and other rights pertaining to the Membership Interests and (ii) any and all rights of conversion, exchange, and subscription and any other rights, privileges or options pertaining to such Membership Interests as if it were the absolute owner thereof. Among other things, in connection with the Motion to Dismiss, the Court held that the

debtor's retention of Mr. Lee Buchwald as manager of the Debtor (the "Manager") was duly authorized and thus, the filing of a petition by Manager was duly authorized and voluntary;

WHEREAS, Development did not appeal the Order Denying Motion to Dismiss;

WHEREAS, on October 5, 2021, the Court held a hearing on the *Motion of Development to Alter or Amend the Judgment Under Bankruptcy Rule 9023 and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e)* [ECF No. 47] (the "Motion to Reargue") relating to the Motion to Dismiss and Order Denying Motion to Dismiss, upon which the Court for various reasons stated on the record denied the Motion to Reargue [ECF No. 69] (the "Order Denying Motion to Reargue");

WHEREAS, on October 8, 2021, 286 Rider Ave Development LLC (hereafter "Development") filed a notice appealing the Order Denying Motion to Reargue to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "District Court") under Case Number 21-cv-8812;

WHEREAS, in the appeal, appellant Development moved to supplement the record before the District Court with materials filed after the date of the Order Denying Motion to Reargue that Development described as "critical to the underlying determination whether the Bankruptcy Case was properly authorized and whether the Bankruptcy Court had jurisdiction over the Bankruptcy Case." Remand Order (as defined below), p. 1;

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2021, the District Court entered an order [ECF No. 260] (the "Remand Order"), "remand[ing] this action to the Bankruptcy Court for consideration of the additional materials, to the extent it sees fit." Remand Order, p. 2;

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2022, the Court held a status conference with regards to, among other things, the Remand Order, upon which the Court entered an order (i) scheduling

supplemental briefing with respect to the Motion to Reargue consistent with the Remand Order and (ii) scheduling supplemental argument on the Motion to Reargue consistent with the Remand Order for March 25, 2022 at 2:00 p.m. (ET) [ECF No. 320];

WHEREAS, on October 28, 2021, this Court entered an Order that provided among other things that only Manager and any employee of Buchwald Capital Advisors LLC are authorized to replace the locks with respect to the real property owned by the Debtor (the “Property” or “Premises”) and take other measures with respect to the security of the Property [ECF No. 108];

WHEREAS, on March 11, 2022, the Debtor and Manager filed a reply [ECF No. 329] in support of a *Motion to Reconsider Portion of Court’s February 11, 2022 Order Regarding 286 Rider Ave Development, LLC’s Motion to Confirm Disputed Pay-Off Amounts, Satisfaction of DIP Loan, and For Related Relief and Supplement to Same* [ECF No. 311] (the “Motion to Reconsider”), reciting that (i) Manager, upon checking on the status of the Property, discovered that Development, or persons acting on behalf of Development, had improperly replaced the locks on the Property, without the knowledge or consent of Manager or this Court; (ii) environmental remediation, asbestos abatement, and other construction work had been carried out on the Premises by third-parties, without the knowledge or consent of Manager or this Court; and (iii) this unauthorized work resulted in a complaint being filed with the New York City Department of Buildings;

WHEREAS, on March 16, 2022, Development filed a surreply in opposition to the Motion to Reconsider [ECF No. 332] (the “Surreply”) containing information about the environmental remediation and asbestos abatement work performed at the Property at Development’s behest without the knowledge or consent of Manager or this Court. The Surreply states that Development contracted with Enviroscope Corporation for the environmental remediation and asbestos

abatement which was carried out on the Premises without the knowledge or consent of Manager or this Court;

WHEREAS, on March 17, 2022, this Court entered an Order finding Development in breach of the automatic stay and enjoining Development, the Principals and any affiliates, employees, agents, and representatives (collectively, the “Development Parties”) of each such party from taking any further acts with respect to the Property, except as expressly provided herein or as authorized by a further order of the Court [ECF No. 335];

WHEREAS, on March 21, 2022, Development filed a Verified Petition, Index No. 804332/2022E (the “Bronx Court Petition”) and a proposed Order to Show Cause (the “OSC,” and together with the Bronx Court Petition, the “Bronx Court Proceeding”) seeking, *inter alia*, the issuance of a temporary restraining order against Be-Aviv 286 Rider LLC (the “Lender”) and 286 Rider Ave Lender LLC (together with the Lender, the “Defendants”) with respect to the management of the Debtor, as more fully set forth in the Bronx Court Proceeding, in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Bronx County (the “Bronx Court”);

WHEREAS, on March 23, 2022, the Bronx Court entered the OSC, ordering the Defendants to show cause why an order should not be issued (i) declaring that Development is and has been the sole and exclusive member of the Debtor and that any acts by or on behalf of the Lender or claims that Lender possessed any type of membership or managerial interest in the Debtor are null and void ab initio because Lender’s subsidiary is not authorized to do business in the State of New York; (ii) declaring that Development properly and fully redeemed its entire interest in the Debtor; and (iii) enjoining and restraining the Lender, and all those acting in concert with them or on their behalf, from unlawfully managing the Debtor or interfering with Development’s management of the Debtor;

WHEREAS, among other things, the OSC states in the first ordered paragraph that “pending the hearing of this motion for a preliminary injunction, Defendants, and all those acting in concert with them or on their behalf, be and hereby are enjoined and restrained from unlawfully managing 286 Rider Ave Acquisition LLC or otherwise interfering with 286 Rider Ave Development LLC’s management of 286 Rider Ave Acquisition LLC;” OSC, p. 2 (the “TRO on Management of the Debtor”);

WHEREAS, on March 23, 2022, the Lender filed the *Emergency Motion under 11 U.S.C. § 105 and § 362 to Compel Development to Amend State Court Proceedings and to Enjoin State Court from Proceeding* [ECF No. 358] seeking for this Court to enjoin the Bronx Court Proceeding from proceeding with respect to the potential entry of the OSC and otherwise;

WHEREAS, on March 25, 2022, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105 and 28 U.S.C. § 2283, the Court entered an order [ECF No. 361] (the “OCS Stay Order”) staying the TRO on Management of the Debtor, which consists of the first ordered paragraph of the OSC, with respect to (i) the administration of the Bankruptcy Case, (ii) decisions of the Court, including orders issued by the Court, with respect to matters before the Court in the Bankruptcy Case and any decisions and orders issued by an appellate court with respect to any appeals of decisions rendered and orders issued by the Court, (iii) prior to (a) determination by the Bronx Court as to whether there has been a complete redemption of the membership interests in the Debtor and (b) if the Bronx Court determines that the membership interests have been fully redeemed, determination by the Bronx Court as to Lender’s continuing rights if any under the pledge agreement with respect to the membership interests, decisions and actions by Lender or its nominee with respect to management of the Debtor, and (iv) decisions and actions of Manager with respect to management of the Debtor,

the Debtor's estate, property of the Debtor's estate, and the Bankruptcy Case, including compliance with orders issued by the Court;

WHEREAS, on April 21, 2022, the Bronx Court entered an interim order (the "Abeyance Order"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A hereto,<sup>1</sup> ordering that the hearing and determination of the OCS shall be held in abeyance pending determination by the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York (the "New York County Court") in an action before the New York County Court bearing New York County Index number 452010/2021 (the "New York County Court Proceeding") of a motion to consolidate the Bronx Court Proceeding with the New York County Court Proceeding;

WHEREAS, among other things, the Abeyance Order states in the second ordered paragraph that "notwithstanding, defendants remain enjoined and restrained from unlawfully managing 286 Rider Ave Acquisition LLC or otherwise interfering with 286 Rider Ave Development LLC's management of 286 Rider Ave Acquisition LLC pending the hearing and determination of this order to show cause by the Hon. Andrew J. Cohen." Abeyance Order p. 1 (the "Abeyance Order Injunction");

WHEREAS, on April 21, 2022, the Lender filed the *Emergency Motion to Enforce Court's Prior Orders* [ECF No. 432] (the "Enforcement Motion"), requesting, *inter alia*, that the Court enforce its prior orders with respect to the Abeyance Order, and *Be-Aviv 286 Rider LLC's Application to Shorten Notice with Respect to Its Enforcement Motion* [ECF No. 432], requesting a hearing on shortened notice on the Enforcement Motion;

---

<sup>1</sup> A copy of the Abeyance Order is also attached in Exhibit A to the Enforcement Motion (as defined below).

WHEREAS, on April 21, 2022, the Court (i) entered the *Order Approving Lender's Application Scheduling Hearing on Shortened Notice under Bankruptcy Rule 9006* [ECF No. 433], scheduling a hearing on shortened notice on the Enforcement Motion on April 25, 2022 at 4:00 p.m. ET (the "Enforcement Motion Hearing") and (ii) entered the *Ex Parte Interim Order on Emergency Motion to Enforce Court's Prior Orders* [ECF No. 434];

WHEREAS, 28 U.S.C. § 2283 provides that, "A court of the United States may not grant an injunction to stay proceedings in a State court except as expressly authorized by Act of Congress, or where necessary in aid of its jurisdiction, or to protect or effectuate its judgments." 28 U.S.C. § 2283;

WHEREAS, the Court has jurisdiction over the Debtor, the Debtor's estate, all property of the Debtor's estate and the Bankruptcy Case pursuant to the United States Bankruptcy Code, applicable federal law, and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York*, dated January 31, 2012;

WHEREAS, the Abeyance Order Injunction appears to be in conflict with prior rulings of this Court in the Bankruptcy Case including, but not limited to, the OCS Stay Order and other decisions by this Court regarding the Motion to Dismiss, the Motion to Reargue, and violations of the automatic stay by the Development Parties;

WHEREAS, on April 7, 2022, the Court entered the *Order Pursuant to Section 1112(b) and 349 of the Bankruptcy Code Dismissing Chapter 11 Case* [ECF No. 403] (as amended or supplemented from time to time, the "Dismissal Order") providing for the dismissal of this Bankruptcy Case upon the Effective Date (as defined in the Dismissal Order);

WHEREAS, on April 25, 2022, the Court held the Enforcement Motion Hearing; and

WHEREAS, upon due deliberation of the foregoing and cause shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Enforcement Motion is granted to the extent set forth herein.
2. The OCS Stay Order remains in full force and effect with respect to the TRO on Management of the Debtor.
3. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 105 and 28 U.S.C. § 2283, the Abeyance Order Injunction, which consists of the second ordered paragraph of the Abeyance Order, is stayed through the Effective Date with respect to (i) the administration of the Bankruptcy Case, (ii) decisions of this Court, including the OCS Stay Order and other orders issued by this Court, with respect to matters before the Court in the Bankruptcy Case and any decisions and orders issued by an appellate court with respect to any appeals of decisions rendered and orders issued by the Court, (iii) prior to (a) determination by the Bronx Court as to whether there has been a complete redemption of the membership interests in the Debtor and (b) if the Bronx Court determines that the membership interests have been fully redeemed, determination by the Bronx Court as to Lender's continuing rights if any under the pledge agreement with respect to the membership interests, decisions and actions by Lender or its nominee with respect to management of the Debtor, and (iv) decisions and actions of Manager with respect to management of the Debtor, the Debtor's estate, property of the Debtor's estate, and the Bankruptcy Case, including compliance with orders issued by the Court.
4. The Court finds that such stay is necessary in aid of the Court's jurisdiction which extends over the Debtor, its estate, all property of the Debtor's estate, and the Bankruptcy Case and in order to protect and effectuate orders of this Court, as well as any appeals that have been and may be taken thereof and any subsequent orders.



5. For the avoidance of doubt, the provisions of this Order enjoin the Abeyance Order solely to the extent of the stay of the Abeyance Order Injunction for the period through the Effective Date.

6. Nothing contained herein limits or otherwise impairs the authority of this Court to enter further orders with respect to the relief sought in the Enforcement Motion as it may deem necessary and appropriate.

7. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to alter or amend this Order and to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation and/or enforcement of this Order.

8. Lender shall promptly provide notice of the entry of this Order to the Bronx Court.

Dated: April 26, 2022  
New York, New York

**/s/ Lisa G. Beckerman**

Hon. Lisa G. Beckerman  
United States Bankruptcy Judge

**Exhibit A**

Abeyance Order